

# Online Appendix\*

GUIDO MENZIO

NYU and NBER

September 2022

---

\*Menzio: Department of Economics, New York University, 19 West 4th Street, New York, NY 10012  
(email: gm1310@nyu.edu).

# Appendix

## A Proof of Lemma 1

I start by characterizing the surplus function  $s_t(x)$ , which maps the breadth  $x$  of a retailer's variety into the surplus offered by the retailer to its customers. First,  $s_t(x)$  is strictly decreasing in  $x$  for all  $x \in [x_t^*, x_t^* \exp(\eta)]$ . To see why this is the case, consider two retailers with varieties  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , with  $x_0 < x_1$ . Let  $s_0$  denote the optimal surplus offered by the retailer with  $x_0$  and let  $s_1$  denote the optimal surplus offered by the retailer with  $x_1$ . Since the retailer with  $x_0$  prefers  $s_0$  to  $s_1$  and the retailer with  $x_1$  prefers  $s_1$  to  $s_0$  it follows that

$$e^{-\lambda_t X_t (1-F_t(s_0))} (x_0^{-\alpha} - s_0) \geq e^{-\lambda_t X_t (1-F_t(s_1))} (x_0^{-\alpha} - s_1), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$e^{-\lambda_t X_t (1-F_t(s_1))} (x_1^{-\alpha} - s_1) \geq e^{-\lambda_t X_t (1-F_t(s_0))} (x_1^{-\alpha} - s_0). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Combining (A.1) and (A.2) yields

$$e^{-\lambda_t X_t (1-F_t(s_0))} (x_0^{-\alpha} - x_1^{-\alpha}) \geq e^{-\lambda_t X_t (1-F_t(s_1))} (x_0^{-\alpha} - x_1^{-\alpha}), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which implies that  $s_0 \geq s_1$ . That is,  $s_t(x)$  is non-decreasing in  $x$ . If  $s_0 = s_1$ , any retailers carrying a variety with breadth  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$  would offer the surplus  $s_0$  and, hence, there would be a mass point in the surplus distribution  $F_t(s)$  at  $s_0$ . This, however, cannot be an equilibrium, since a mass point in  $F_t(s)$  at  $s_0$  implies that a retailer could attain a strictly higher profit by offering  $s_0 + \epsilon$  rather than by offering  $s_0$ , for some arbitrarily small but positive  $\epsilon$ .

Second,  $s_t(x)$  is such that  $s_t(x_t^* \exp(\eta)) = 0$ . To see why this is the case, suppose that the lowest surplus offered by retailers is some  $s_0 > 0$ . A retailer offering  $s_0$  only sells to those  $b_0$  buyers who are not in contact with any other retailer carrying a variety that they like. A retailer offering  $s_0$  enjoys a profit of  $x^{-\alpha} - s_0$  per unit sold. If the retailer were to offer a surplus of 0, it would still sell only to those  $b_0$  buyers who are not in contact with any other retailer carrying a variety that they like. However, the retailer would enjoy a profit of  $x^{-\alpha} > x^{-\alpha} - s_0$  per unit sold. Therefore, the lowest surplus offered by retailers must be equal to 0. Since retailers carrying a broader variety offer a lower surplus, it follows that  $s_t(x_t^* \exp(\eta)) = 0$ .

To complete the characterization of  $s_t(x)$ , I use the optimality condition of the retailer's pricing problem and the properties of the surplus distribution. The optimality condition of the retailer's problem is

$$1 = \lambda_t X_t F'(s_t(x)) (x^{-\alpha} - s_t(x)). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The left-hand side of (A.4) is the retailer's marginal cost of offering more surplus to its customers, and it is equal to the retailer's volume. The right-hand side of (A.4) is the

retailer's marginal benefit of offering more surplus to its customers, and it is equal to the retailer's increase in volume multiplied by its per-unit profit.

The surplus distribution is such that

$$F_t(s_t(x)) = \left[ \int_x^{x_t^* e^\eta} \frac{1}{\log x_t^* e^\eta - \log x_t^*} dz \right] \frac{1}{X_t} = \frac{x_t^* e^\eta - x}{\eta X_t}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the expression in (A.5) is obtained from (2.6) and the fact that  $s_t(x)$  is strictly decreasing in  $x$ . Differentiating (A.5) with respect to  $x$  yields

$$F'_t(s_t(x))s'_t(x) = -\frac{1}{\eta X_t}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Combining (A.4) and (A.6) gives a differential equation for the surplus function

$$s'_t(x) = -\frac{\lambda}{\eta} (x^{-\alpha} - s_t(x)). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The unique solution to the differential equation (A.7) that satisfies the boundary condition  $s_t(x_t^* \exp(\eta)) = 0$  is

$$s_t(x_0) = \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \int_{x_0}^{x_t^* e^\eta} x^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\eta}(x-x_0)} dx. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The expression in (A.8) describes the surplus function  $s_t(x)$  for  $x \in [x_t^*, x_t^* \exp(\eta)]$ . For any  $x > x_t^* \exp(\eta)$ , the retailer carries the broadest variety in the market. It is easy to check that such a retailer finds it optimal to offer a surplus of 0. For  $x < x_t^*$ , a retailer carries the most specialized variety in the market. It is easy to check that such a retailer finds it optimal to offer a surplus of  $s_t(x_t^*)$ .

I can now compute the maximized profit  $R_t(x)$  for a retailer carrying a variety with breadth  $x$ , which is

$$R_t(x) = \begin{cases} b\lambda_t x (x^{-\alpha} - s_t(x_t^*)) & \text{for } x < x_t^*, \\ b\lambda_t x e^{-\frac{\lambda_t}{\eta}(x-x_t^*)} (x^{-\alpha} - s_t(x)) & \text{for } x \in [x_t^*, x_t^* e^\eta], \\ b\lambda_t x e^{-\frac{\lambda_t}{\eta}(x_t^* e^\eta - x_t^*)} x^{-\alpha} & \text{for } x > x_t^* e^\eta. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

For  $x \in [x_t^*, x_t^* \exp(\eta)]$ , the expression for  $R_t(x)$  is obtained using the fact that  $F_t(s_t(x))$  is given by (A.6) and  $X_t$  is given by (2.3). For  $x > x_t^* \exp(\eta)$ , the expression for  $R_t(x)$  is obtained by noting that the retailer offers to its buyers a surplus of 0, which is the lowest in the market. For  $x < x_t^*$ , the expression for  $R_t(x)$  is obtained by noting that the retailer offers a surplus of  $s_t(x_t^*)$ , which is the highest in the market.

## B Proof of Lemma 2

Equation (2.13) implies that a firm's marginal benefit from designing a more specialized variety of the product is equal to the marginal cost from designing a more specialized variety when the firm chooses  $x_t^*$  and all other firms choose  $x_t^*$ . If, in addition, the firm's marginal cost is lower than the marginal benefit for all  $x_t > x_t^*$  and the firm's marginal cost exceeds the marginal benefit for all  $x_t < x_t^*$ , then equation (2.13) also implies that  $x_t^*$  maximizes the firm's profit given that all other firms choose  $x_t^*$ .

Let  $\mu(x_t)$  denote the derivative with respect to  $-x_t$  of the first term on the right-hand side of (2.11). Let  $\nu(x_t)$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $-x_t$  of the second term on the right-hand side of (2.11). That is, let  $\mu(x_t)$  denote the firm's marginal benefit from designing a more specialized variety of the product and let  $\nu(x_t)$  denote the firm's marginal cost from designing a more specialized variety of the product. Using (2.10), it is easy to show that  $\mu(x_t)$  is such that

$$\mu(x_t) \geq \mu(x_t^*) - bm \frac{\lambda_t}{\eta} (x_t^{*\alpha} - x_t^\alpha), \text{ for } x_t > x_t^*, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\mu(x_t) \leq \mu(x_t^*) + bm \frac{\lambda_t}{\eta} (x_t^\alpha - x_t^{*\alpha}), \text{ for } x_t < x_t^*. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The breadth  $x_t^*$  maximizes the firm's profit (2.11) as long as the firm's marginal cost  $\nu(x_t)$  is smaller than the lower bound on the marginal benefit on right-hand side of (B.1) for  $x_t > x_t^*$ , and the marginal cost  $\nu(x_t)$  is greater the upper bound on the marginal benefit on the right-hand side of (B.2) for  $x_t < x_t^*$ . There are many cost functions  $q$  such that  $\nu(x_t)$  has these properties. For example, a cost function  $q$  such that

$$-q'(x_t/x_{t-1}^*) = -q'(x_t^*/x_{t-1}^*) + q_0 \left[ (x_t/x_{t-1}^*)^{-\beta} - (x_t^*/x_{t-1}^*)^{-\beta} \right], \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where  $\beta$  and  $q_0$  are parameters such that

$$\beta > \alpha, \text{ and } q_0 > \frac{\lambda_t x_t^* x_{t-1}^{*\alpha}}{\eta w_t}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

## C Properties of the function $\Psi$

The function  $\Psi(\phi)$  is defined as

$$\Psi(\phi) = \frac{\phi}{\eta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} \right]. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

I am going to establish some properties of  $\Psi(\phi)$ . In particular, I am going to establish that  $\Psi'(\phi) > 0$ ,  $\Psi(0)$  is equal to 0,  $\Psi'(0) = [1 - e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta}] / \eta$ , and  $\Psi(\infty) = \alpha$ .

The derivative of  $\Psi(\phi)$  with respect to  $\phi$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi'(\phi) &= \frac{1}{\eta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} \right] \\
&+ \frac{\phi}{\eta} \left[ -\frac{1}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz + \frac{\phi}{\eta^2} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \\
&+ \frac{\phi}{\eta} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

After collecting terms, I can rewrite (C.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi'(\phi) &= \frac{1}{\eta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \\
&- \frac{1}{\eta} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

Using the fact that  $\eta$  is small and, hence,  $\exp(\eta)$  is close to 1, I can approximate  $z^{-\alpha}$  with  $1 - \alpha(z-1)$  inside the integral of (C.3). That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \\
&\approx \int_1^{e^\eta} [1 - \alpha(z-1)] \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \\
&= \int_1^{e^\eta} \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz - \alpha \int_1^{e^\eta} (z-1) \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

The solution of the first integral in the third line of (C.4) is

$$\int_1^{e^\eta} \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz = \frac{1}{\phi/\eta} \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) \right) \right]. \tag{C.5}$$

The solution of the second integral in the third line of (C.4) is

$$-\alpha \int_1^{e^\eta} (z-1) \left( 2 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz = -\alpha e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} (e^\eta - 1)^2. \tag{C.6}$$

Substituting (C.5) and (C.6) into (C.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi'(\phi) &\approx \frac{1}{\eta} \left[ e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) \right) (1 - e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta}) + \alpha \frac{\phi}{\eta} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} (e^\eta - 1)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\eta} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) \right) (1 - e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta}) + \alpha \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\eta} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left[ 1 - e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} + \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) (\alpha e^\eta + e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} - \alpha - 1) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

where the last line in (C.7) is strictly positive because  $\alpha e^\eta + e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} > \alpha + 1$ . Hence,  $\Psi'(\phi) > 0$ .

For  $\phi \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Psi'(\phi)$  takes the value

$$\Psi'(0) = \frac{1}{\eta} [1 - e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta}]. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

For  $\phi \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Psi(\phi)$  is such that

$$\Psi(\infty) = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta}}{\eta/\phi}. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Both the numerator and the denominator converge to 0. Applying de l'Hopital's rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\infty) &= \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi^2}{\eta^2} \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} \right] \\ &\approx \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\phi}{\eta} \right)^2 \left[ e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} (e^\eta - 1) (1 - e^{-(\alpha-1)\eta} - \alpha(e^\eta - 1)) \right] \\ &+ \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta - 1) \right) \right] = \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

## D Properties of the function $\Gamma$

I now want to establish some properties of the function  $\Gamma(\phi)$ , which is defined as

$$\Gamma(\phi) = \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz. \quad (\text{D.1})$$

Note that I can write (D.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\lambda x^*) &= \frac{1}{x^{*\alpha}} \frac{\lambda x^*}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} (zx^*)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\eta}(zx^*-x^*)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{*\alpha}} \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \int_{x^*}^{x^* e^\eta} x^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\eta}(x-x^*)} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.2})$$

where the first line in (D.2) is obtained by defining  $x^*$  as  $\lambda/\phi$ , and the second line in (D.2) is obtained by changing the variable of integration from  $z$  to  $x = zx^*$ .

Multiplying the left and the right-hand side of (D.2) by  $x^{*\alpha}$  yields

$$\Gamma(\lambda x^*) x^{*\alpha} = \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \int_{x^*}^{x^* e^\eta} x^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\eta}(x-x^*)} dx. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

Differentiating the left and the right-hand side of (D.3) with respect to  $x^*$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma'(\lambda x^*)x^{*\alpha} - \alpha\Gamma(\lambda x^*)x^{*\alpha-1} \\
= & \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \left[ (e^\eta x^*)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\eta}(e^\eta x^* - x^*)} e^\eta - x^{*\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \int_{x^*}^{x^* e^\eta} x^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\eta}(x - x^*)} dx \right] \\
= & -x^{*\alpha} \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \int_{x^*}^{x^* e^\eta} \left( \frac{x}{x^*} \right)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda x^*}{\eta} \left( \frac{x}{x^*} - 1 \right)} dx - e^{-\frac{\lambda x^*}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1)} e^{-\eta(\alpha-1)} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

Multiplying both sides of (D.4) by  $x^*/x^{*\alpha}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma'(\lambda x^*)\lambda x^* - \alpha\Gamma(\lambda x^*) \\
= & -\frac{\lambda x^*}{\eta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \int_{x^*}^{x^* e^\eta} \left( \frac{x}{x^*} \right)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda x^*}{\eta} \left( \frac{x}{x^*} - 1 \right)} dx - e^{-\frac{\lambda x^*}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1)} e^{-\eta(\alpha-1)} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.5}$$

Using the fact that  $\lambda x^* = \phi^*$ , I can rewrite (D.5) as

$$\Gamma'(\phi)\phi - \alpha\Gamma(\phi) = -\frac{\phi}{\eta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz - e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta - 1)} e^{-\eta(\alpha-1)} \right]. \tag{D.6}$$

Since the right-hand side of (D.6) is equal to  $-\Psi(\phi)$ , it follows that

$$\Psi(\phi) = \alpha\Gamma(\phi) - \Gamma'(\phi)\phi. \tag{D.7}$$

Dividing both sides of (D.7) by  $\Gamma(\phi)$ , yields

$$\frac{\Psi(\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)} = \frac{\alpha\Gamma(\phi) - \Gamma'(\phi)\phi}{\Gamma(\phi)} = \alpha - \frac{\Gamma'(\phi)\phi}{\Gamma(\phi)}. \tag{D.8}$$

Let  $\epsilon(\phi)$  denote the elasticity of  $\Gamma(\phi)$  with respect to  $\phi$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(\phi) = \frac{\Gamma'(\phi)\phi}{\Gamma(\phi)} &= \frac{\int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1) \right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz}{\int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz} \\
&= 1 - \frac{\frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz}{\int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

Let  $n(\phi)$  the numerator of the fraction in the second line of (D.9), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
n(\phi) &= \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \\
&\approx \frac{\phi}{\eta} \int_1^{e^\eta} (1 - \alpha(z-1))(z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \\
&= \frac{1}{(\phi/\eta)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left( \left(2\alpha - \frac{\phi}{\eta}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta - 1)\right) + \alpha \left(\frac{\phi}{\eta}\right)^2 (e^\eta - 1)^2 \right) + \frac{\phi}{\eta} - 2\alpha \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{D.10}$$

where the second line is obtained by approximating  $z^{-\alpha}$  with  $1 - \alpha(z-1)$ . Let  $d(\phi)$  the denominator of the fraction in the second line of (D.9), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\phi) &= \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \\
&\approx \int_1^{e^\eta} (1 - \alpha(z-1)) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \\
&= \frac{1}{(\phi/\eta)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(e^\eta-1)} \left( \alpha - \frac{\phi}{\eta} + \alpha \frac{\phi}{\eta} (e^\eta - 1) \right) + \frac{\phi}{\eta} - \alpha \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{D.11}$$

where the second line is obtained by approximating  $z^{-\alpha}$  with  $1 - \alpha(z-1)$ . From the above expressions, it follows that  $\lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} n(\phi)/d(\phi) = 1$ . From the above expressions and de l'Hopital's rule, it follows that  $\lim_{\phi \rightarrow 0} n(\phi)/d(\phi) = 0$ . Hence,  $\epsilon(0) = 1$  and  $\epsilon(\infty) = 0$  and  $\Psi(\phi)/\Gamma(\phi)$  is equal to  $\alpha - 1$  for  $\phi = 0$  and equal to  $\alpha$  for  $\phi = \infty$ .

The derivative of  $\epsilon(\phi)$  with respect to  $\phi$  has the opposite sign as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\epsilon}'(\phi) &= \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} (z-1) \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)\right) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\phi}{\eta} \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} z^{-\alpha} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

A linear approximation of  $z^{-\alpha}(z-1)(1 - (z-1)\phi/\eta)$ ,  $z^{-\alpha}$  and  $z^{-\alpha}(z-1)$  around  $z = 1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\epsilon}'(\phi) &= \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} (1 - \alpha(z-1)) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\phi}{\eta} \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right] \left[ \int_1^{e^\eta} (z-1) e^{-\frac{\phi}{\eta}(z-1)} dz \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.13}$$

Since  $\tilde{\epsilon}'(\phi) > 0$ , it follows that the derivative of  $\epsilon(\phi)$  with respect to  $\phi$  is strictly negative. In turn, this implies that the ratio  $\Psi(\phi)/\Gamma(\phi)$  is strictly increasing in  $\phi$ .