#### General Financial Economic Equilibria

Dilip B. Madan

Robert H. Smith School of Business

Presentation at AMES Conference New Delhi, India January 14 2024

Presentation at AMES Conference

• General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.

- General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.
- As a consequence financial considerations related to risk management were rendered irrelevant.

- General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.
- As a consequence financial considerations related to risk management were rendered irrelevant.
- The objective here is a reformulation equilibrium theory in the presence of uncertainty.

- General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.
- As a consequence financial considerations related to risk management were rendered irrelevant.
- The objective here is a reformulation equilibrium theory in the presence of uncertainty.
- However, we seek to keep its absence in place for all economic participants who can then make economic plans under some certainty.

elite the states and

- General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.
- As a consequence financial considerations related to risk management were rendered irrelevant.
- The objective here is a reformulation equilibrium theory in the presence of uncertainty.
- However, we seek to keep its absence in place for all economic participants who can then make economic plans under some certainty.
- Uncertainty is present only for the aggregate economy where it is managed by employing financial principles of risk taking.

Eller States Fallente

- General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.
- As a consequence financial considerations related to risk management were rendered irrelevant.
- The objective here is a reformulation equilibrium theory in the presence of uncertainty.
- However, we seek to keep its absence in place for all economic participants who can then make economic plans under some certainty.
- Uncertainty is present only for the aggregate economy where it is managed by employing financial principles of risk taking.
- Hence the reference to a "General Financial Economic Equilibrium."

- General equilibrium theory, by abstracting from uncertainty, obtained exact answers to important economic questions.
- As a consequence financial considerations related to risk management were rendered irrelevant.
- The objective here is a reformulation equilibrium theory in the presence of uncertainty.
- However, we seek to keep its absence in place for all economic participants who can then make economic plans under some certainty.
- Uncertainty is present only for the aggregate economy where it is managed by employing financial principles of risk taking.
- Hence the reference to a "General Financial Economic Equilibrium."
- Implications for economic policy are discussed by numerically solving a variety of equilibrium examples.

그 소리는 소득하는 통신 ... 특.

• In one or multiple dimensions with excess demands defined as deterministic functions of prices, equilibrium prices are defined as those that simultaneously clear all markets.

- In one or multiple dimensions with excess demands defined as deterministic functions of prices, equilibrium prices are defined as those that simultaneously clear all markets.
- This was the formulation proposed in one dimension by Marshall (1890), and for many markets simultaneously by Walras (1874).

- In one or multiple dimensions with excess demands defined as deterministic functions of prices, equilibrium prices are defined as those that simultaneously clear all markets.
- This was the formulation proposed in one dimension by Marshall (1890), and for many markets simultaneously by Walras (1874).
- Arrow and Debreu (1954) proved the existence of such an equilibrium under specific conditions.

A MES Contours

- In one or multiple dimensions with excess demands defined as deterministic functions of prices, equilibrium prices are defined as those that simultaneously clear all markets.
- This was the formulation proposed in one dimension by Marshall (1890), and for many markets simultaneously by Walras (1874).
- Arrow and Debreu (1954) proved the existence of such an equilibrium under specific conditions.
- However, in the presence of uncertainty excess demands must be random functions of prices and as a consequence they cannot be equated to zero, to form market clearing prices.

- In one or multiple dimensions with excess demands defined as deterministic functions of prices, equilibrium prices are defined as those that simultaneously clear all markets.
- This was the formulation proposed in one dimension by Marshall (1890), and for many markets simultaneously by Walras (1874).
- Arrow and Debreu (1954) proved the existence of such an equilibrium under specific conditions.
- However, in the presence of uncertainty excess demands must be random functions of prices and as a consequence they cannot be equated to zero, to form market clearing prices.
- The fundamental equilibrium equations are no longer valid and neither is the underlying equilibrium concept.

• Debreu (1959) chapter 7 recovered equilibrium under uncertainty by making prices state contingent, but prices are then determined when the uncertainty has been resolved.

- Debreu (1959) chapter 7 recovered equilibrium under uncertainty by making prices state contingent, but prices are then determined when the uncertainty has been resolved.
- Economies exposed to uncertainty must deliver price systems that cannot be state contingent.

+ AMES Conference

- Debreu (1959) chapter 7 recovered equilibrium under uncertainty by making prices state contingent, but prices are then determined when the uncertainty has been resolved.
- Economies exposed to uncertainty must deliver price systems that cannot be state contingent.
- In principle the states are too numerous and diverse to warrant either a full description or enumeration.

- Debreu (1959) chapter 7 recovered equilibrium under uncertainty by making prices state contingent, but prices are then determined when the uncertainty has been resolved.
- Economies exposed to uncertainty must deliver price systems that cannot be state contingent.
- In principle the states are too numerous and diverse to warrant either a full description or enumeration.
- We therefore seek to revise the equilibrium concept in the context of stochastic or random excess demands.

• In the classical equilibrium theory, economic participants know their production possibilities and preferences.

- In the classical equilibrium theory, economic participants know their production possibilities and preferences.
- Given the price system they formulate production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of these prices.

- In the classical equilibrium theory, economic participants know their production possibilities and preferences.
- Given the price system they formulate production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of these prices.
- Equilibrium prices are defined by equating demands to supplies or excess demands to zero.

AMEC Conferen

- In the classical equilibrium theory, economic participants know their production possibilities and preferences.
- Given the price system they formulate production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of these prices.
- Equilibrium prices are defined by equating demands to supplies or excess demands to zero.
- The market may be viewed as an additional and abstract participant determining prices with a view to clearing markets.

elite the states and

- In the classical equilibrium theory, economic participants know their production possibilities and preferences.
- Given the price system they formulate production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of these prices.
- Equilibrium prices are defined by equating demands to supplies or excess demands to zero.
- The market may be viewed as an additional and abstract participant determining prices with a view to clearing markets.
- All the information across all economic participants is available to the market in setting prices.

그 같은 그 것 않는 옷 옷 … 문.

• Economic participants continue to know their production possibilities and preferences.

- Economic participants continue to know their production possibilities and preferences.
- They continue to determine production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of prices announced by the market.

- Economic participants continue to know their production possibilities and preferences.
- They continue to determine production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of prices announced by the market.
- They do not face issues of adjusting decisions or decision making principles to accommodate responses to the underlying economic uncertainties. Their attitudes to risk are not relevant.

- Economic participants continue to know their production possibilities and preferences.
- They continue to determine production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of prices announced by the market.
- They do not face issues of adjusting decisions or decision making principles to accommodate responses to the underlying economic uncertainties. Their attitudes to risk are not relevant.
- Aggregate demands and supplies as seen by the market are random and the information required to make them deterministic is not available to the market.

- Economic participants continue to know their production possibilities and preferences.
- They continue to determine production and consumption plans as deterministic functions of prices announced by the market.
- They do not face issues of adjusting decisions or decision making principles to accommodate responses to the underlying economic uncertainties. Their attitudes to risk are not relevant.
- Aggregate demands and supplies as seen by the market are random and the information required to make them deterministic is not available to the market.
- The market therefore cannot equate excess demands to zero as they are random functions of the given prices.

• With a view to managing uncertainty in demands and supplies the market announces a system of two prices for all goods and services.

A MEC Contours

- With a view to managing uncertainty in demands and supplies the market announces a system of two prices for all goods and services.
- There is an upper price vector p<sub>U</sub> at which the market sells and a lower price vector p<sub>L</sub> at which the market buys.

- With a view to managing uncertainty in demands and supplies the market announces a system of two prices for all goods and services.
- There is an upper price vector p<sub>U</sub> at which the market sells and a lower price vector p<sub>L</sub> at which the market buys.
- The counterparty for all participants is the market.

- With a view to managing uncertainty in demands and supplies the market announces a system of two prices for all goods and services.
- There is an upper price vector p<sub>U</sub> at which the market sells and a lower price vector p<sub>L</sub> at which the market buys.
- The counterparty for all participants is the market.
- Aggregate demand is then a deterministic function of  $p_U$  and random events  $\omega_D$

 $D=D(p_U,\omega_D).$ 

A MES Contours

- With a view to managing uncertainty in demands and supplies the market announces a system of two prices for all goods and services.
- There is an upper price vector p<sub>U</sub> at which the market sells and a lower price vector p<sub>L</sub> at which the market buys.
- The counterparty for all participants is the market.
- Aggregate demand is then a deterministic function of p<sub>U</sub> and random events ω<sub>D</sub>

$$D=D(p_U,\omega_D).$$

Similarly the supply functions are

$$S = S(p_L, \omega_S).$$

• Whatever prices the market announces the market is left facing two risk exposures of random excess supplies and net revenues.

tation at AMES Conference

- Whatever prices the market announces the market is left facing two risk exposures of random excess supplies and net revenues.
- These are given for excess supply by

 $z(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) = S(p_L, \omega_S) - D(p_U, \omega_D),$ 

- Whatever prices the market announces the market is left facing two risk exposures of random excess supplies and net revenues.
- These are given for excess supply by

$$z(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) = S(p_L, \omega_S) - D(p_U, \omega_D),$$

• and for excess net revenue by

 $R(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) = p_U D(p_U, \omega_D) - p_L S(p_L, \omega_S).$ 

+ AMES Conference

• The market sets prices so that the resulting risk exposures are acceptable.
- The market sets prices so that the resulting risk exposures are acceptable.
- For the definition of risk acceptability we follow its financial definition as provided in Artzner, Eber, Delbaen and Heath (1999), (ADEH).

tation at AMES Conference

- The market sets prices so that the resulting risk exposures are acceptable.
- For the definition of risk acceptability we follow its financial definition as provided in Artzner, Eber, Delbaen and Heath (1999), (ADEH).
- Acceptable risks are defined in ADEH as a convex cone of random outcomes containing the nonnegative outcomes.

- The market sets prices so that the resulting risk exposures are acceptable.
- For the definition of risk acceptability we follow its financial definition as provided in Artzner, Eber, Delbaen and Heath (1999), (ADEH).
- Acceptable risks are defined in ADEH as a convex cone of random outcomes containing the nonnegative outcomes.
- The latter are of course acceptable, by virtue of being devoid of risk.

Elle La Met R.L. B

• There exist sets of test probabilities  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\mathcal{N}_i$  for each commodity, defining risk acceptability for its excess supply and excess net revenue.

- There exist sets of test probabilities  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\mathcal{N}_i$  for each commodity, defining risk acceptability for its excess supply and excess net revenue.
- As a result the prices of the two price economy must satisfy

$$\inf_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{M}_i}} E^Q \left[ z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) \right] = 0$$
  
$$\inf_{\substack{Q \in N_i}} E^Q \left[ R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) \right] = 0.$$

- There exist sets of test probabilities  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\mathcal{N}_i$  for each commodity, defining risk acceptability for its excess supply and excess net revenue.
- As a result the prices of the two price economy must satisfy

$$\inf_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{M}_i}} E^Q \left[ z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) \right] = 0$$
  
$$\inf_{\substack{Q \in N_i}} E^Q \left[ R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) \right] = 0.$$

• We thus have 2*n* equations in 2*n* unknowns defining the two price *n* commodity equilibrium.

Elle La Met R.L. B

• When risk acceptability is defined in terms of the probability law of the risk and satisfies comonotone additivity (Kusuoka (2001)) then there exist distorted expectation operators  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$  such that the two price general financial equilibrium (GFEE) may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_i\left(z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & 0\\ &\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\left(R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & 0, \end{aligned}$$

• When risk acceptability is defined in terms of the probability law of the risk and satisfies comonotone additivity (Kusuoka (2001)) then there exist distorted expectation operators  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$  such that the two price general financial equilibrium (GFEE) may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_i\left(z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & \mathbf{0} \\ &\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\left(R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

 We solve for two price equilibria by minimizing the sum of squares of distorted expectations.

• When risk acceptability is defined in terms of the probability law of the risk and satisfies comonotone additivity (Kusuoka (2001)) then there exist distorted expectation operators  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$  such that the two price general financial equilibrium (GFEE) may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_i\left(z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & \mathbf{0} \\ &\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\left(R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

- We solve for two price equilibria by minimizing the sum of squares of distorted expectations.
- There are cases where all the required equations may not be simultaneously solvable. In this case the minimum distance from zero is a best approximation for two price equilibria.

• When risk acceptability is defined in terms of the probability law of the risk and satisfies comonotone additivity (Kusuoka (2001)) then there exist distorted expectation operators  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$  such that the two price general financial equilibrium (GFEE) may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_i \left( z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) \right) &= 0 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \left( R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S) \right) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

- We solve for two price equilibria by minimizing the sum of squares of distorted expectations.
- There are cases where all the required equations may not be simultaneously solvable. In this case the minimum distance from zero is a best approximation for two price equilibria.
- By virtue of minimizing a nonnegative distance function such an approximate two price equilibrium always exists.

신민은 신뢰자를 통한 문화

• When risk acceptability is defined in terms of the probability law of the risk and satisfies comonotone additivity (Kusuoka (2001)) then there exist distorted expectation operators  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$  such that the two price general financial equilibrium (GFEE) may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_i\left(z_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & \mathbf{0} \\ &\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\left(R_i(p_L, p_U, \omega_D, \omega_S)\right) &= & \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

- We solve for two price equilibria by minimizing the sum of squares of distorted expectations.
- There are cases where all the required equations may not be simultaneously solvable. In this case the minimum distance from zero is a best approximation for two price equilibria.
- By virtue of minimizing a nonnegative distance function such an approximate two price equilibrium always exists.
- Of course, it need not be unique but is probably locally so.

tation at AMES Conference

### **Distorted Expectations**

 A concave distribution Ψ(u) on the unit interval 0 ≤ u ≤ 1 defines the distorted expectation of a risk X with distribution function F(x) by

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d\Psi(F(x)).$$
  
= 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \Psi'(F(x)) f(x) dx$$

tation at AMES Conference New

### **Distorted Expectations**

 A concave distribution Ψ(u) on the unit interval 0 ≤ u ≤ 1 defines the distorted expectation of a risk X with distribution function F(x) by

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d\Psi(F(x)).$$
  
= 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \Psi'(F(x)) f(x) dx$$

• For  $\Psi(u) = u$  we have the usual expectation.

tation at AMES Conference

# **Distorted Expectations**

 A concave distribution Ψ(u) on the unit interval 0 ≤ u ≤ 1 defines the distorted expectation of a risk X with distribution function F(x) by

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d\Psi(F(x)).$$
  
= 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \Psi'(F(x)) f(x) dx$$

- For  $\Psi(u) = u$  we have the usual expectation.
- The greater the concavity of  $\Psi$  the greater the weight given to negative outcomes and the lower the weight given to positive outcomes and the lower is the distorted expectation.

• The specific distortion employed is termed *minmaxvar* and was introduced in Cherny and Madan (2009).

tation at AMES Conference

- The specific distortion employed is termed *minmaxvar* and was introduced in Cherny and Madan (2009).
- It is defined by

$$\Psi^{(\gamma)}(u) = 1 - (1 - u^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}})^{1+\gamma}.$$

tation at AMES Conference

- The specific distortion employed is termed *minmaxvar* and was introduced in Cherny and Madan (2009).
- It is defined by

$$\Psi^{(\gamma)}(u) = 1 - (1 - u^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}})^{1+\gamma}.$$

• Its derivative tends to infinity at zero and zero at unity.

- The specific distortion employed is termed *minmaxvar* and was introduced in Cherny and Madan (2009).
- It is defined by

$$\Psi^{(\gamma)}(u) = 1 - (1 - u^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}})^{1+\gamma}.$$

- Its derivative tends to infinity at zero and zero at unity.
- The greater is  $\gamma$  the greater is the concavity.

# Expectations, Distorted Expectations and Price Spreads

• By construction expectations dominate distorted expectations

# Expectations, Distorted Expectations and Price Spreads

- By construction expectations dominate distorted expectations
- As a consequence for a GFEE

$$E^{P}[S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})] \geq E^{P}[D_{i}(p_{U},\omega_{D})]$$

#### and

$$E^{P}[p_{Ui}D_{i}(p_{U},\omega_{D})] \geq E^{P}[p_{L_{i}}S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})]$$

and hence

$$E^{P}\left[S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})\right] \geq \frac{p_{L_{i}}}{p_{U_{i}}}E^{P}\left[S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})\right].$$

tation at AMES Conference New

- By construction expectations dominate distorted expectations
- As a consequence for a GFEE

$$E^{P}[S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})] \geq E^{P}[D_{i}(p_{U},\omega_{D})]$$

#### and

$$E^{\mathcal{P}}[p_{Ui}D_i(p_U,\omega_D)] \ge E^{\mathcal{P}}[p_{L_i}S_i(p_L,\omega_S)]$$

and hence

$$E^{\mathcal{P}}\left[S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})\right] \geq \frac{p_{L_{i}}}{p_{U_{i}}}E^{\mathcal{P}}\left[S_{i}(p_{L},\omega_{S})\right].$$

• So we have that  $p_L \leq p_U$ .

• Consider a simple model for the uncertainties in the post price levels of demand and supply. For a demand curve  $D(p_U)$  with uncertainty  $Z_D$  the demand is

 $D=D(p_U)+Z_D$ 

 Consider a simple model for the uncertainties in the post price levels of demand and supply. For a demand curve D(p<sub>U</sub>) with uncertainty Z<sub>D</sub> the demand is

$$D=D(p_U)+Z_D$$

• Similarly for a supply curve  $S(p_L)$  and uncertainty  $Z_S$  the supply is

 $S = S(p_L) + Z_S$ 

 Consider a simple model for the uncertainties in the post price levels of demand and supply. For a demand curve D(p<sub>U</sub>) with uncertainty Z<sub>D</sub> the demand is

$$D = D(p_U) + Z_D$$

• Similarly for a supply curve  $S(p_L)$  and uncertainty  $Z_S$  the supply is

$$S = S(p_L) + Z_S$$

• The excess supply is then

$$X = S(p_L) - D(p_U) + Z_S - Z_D$$

 Consider a simple model for the uncertainties in the post price levels of demand and supply. For a demand curve D(p<sub>U</sub>) with uncertainty Z<sub>D</sub> the demand is

$$D=D(p_U)+Z_D$$

• Similarly for a supply curve  $S(p_L)$  and uncertainty  $Z_S$  the supply is

$$S = S(p_L) + Z_S$$

• The excess supply is then

$$X = S(p_L) - D(p_U) + Z_S - Z_D$$

• In a simple model we may take the uncertainties  $Z_D$ ,  $Z_S$  to be normally distributed with zero means variances  $\sigma_D^2$ ,  $\sigma_S^2$  and correlation  $\rho$ , ignoring the issues of demand and supply possibly getting negative.

Presentation at AMES Conference New D

#### • The net revenue is given by

$$R = p_U D(p_U) + p_U Z_D - p_L S(p_L) - p_L Z_S$$

• The equilibrium equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}\left(X\right) &= S(p_L) - D(p_U) + \mathcal{E}\left(Z_S - Z_D\right) = 0 \\ \mathcal{E}\left(R\right) &= p_U D(p_U) - p_L S(p_L) + \mathcal{E}\left(p_U Z_D - p_L Z_S\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

tation at AMES Conference New C

Procen

• The equilibrium equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}\left(X\right) &= S(p_L) - D(p_U) + \mathcal{E}\left(Z_S - Z_D\right) = 0 \\ \mathcal{E}\left(R\right) &= p_U D(p_U) - p_L S(p_L) + \mathcal{E}\left(p_U Z_D - p_L Z_S\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $\bullet$  for a distorted expectation operator  ${\cal E}.$ 

at AMES Conference

• For a demand elasticity of 1.5 and a supply elasticity of 0.75 with  $\theta$ ,  $\theta$  at 0.2, 0.75 and  $\sigma_D$ ,  $\sigma_S$  at 0.2, 0.1 the Figure displays the two price equilibrium with  $p_U$ ,  $p_L$  at 1.05, 0.97 and qD, qS at 0.93, 0.98.

A RACE CARANA



Figure:

# A K-Good Full Employment General Equilibrium

• We combine output uncertainty with producers receiving expected profits that are distributed to consumers with stochastic demands to generate *K* - *dimensional* stochastic excess supplies and revenues.

- We combine output uncertainty with producers receiving expected profits that are distributed to consumers with stochastic demands to generate *K dimensional* stochastic excess supplies and revenues.
- Suppose fixed labor supplies of  $\overline{L}_j$  for individual j.

- We combine output uncertainty with producers receiving expected profits that are distributed to consumers with stochastic demands to generate *K dimensional* stochastic excess supplies and revenues.
- Suppose fixed labor supplies of  $\overline{L}_j$  for individual j.
- For the K goods there are stochastic production functions

 $Y_k = f_k(L_k)M_k$ 

- We combine output uncertainty with producers receiving expected profits that are distributed to consumers with stochastic demands to generate *K dimensional* stochastic excess supplies and revenues.
- Suppose fixed labor supplies of  $\overline{L}_j$  for individual j.
- For the K goods there are stochastic production functions

$$Y_k = f_k(L_k)M_k$$

• where  $L_k$  is the labor employed,  $M_k$  is a unit expectation positive shock.

## Labor Demand, Expected Profits and Wages

 The output is determined by suppliers selling to the market at prices offered by the market of p<sub>L<sub>k</sub></sub> for good k.

# Labor Demand, Expected Profits and Wages

- The output is determined by suppliers selling to the market at prices offered by the market of p<sub>L<sub>k</sub></sub> for good k.
- The suppliers determine employment levels by maximizing expected profits that for a wage rate *w* are given by

$$\pi_k = \sum_k p_{Lk} f_k(L_k) - wL_k.$$
# Labor Demand, Expected Profits and Wages

- The output is determined by suppliers selling to the market at prices offered by the market of p<sub>Lk</sub> for good k.
- The suppliers determine employment levels by maximizing expected profits that for a wage rate *w* are given by

$$\pi_k = \sum_k p_{Lk} f_k(L_k) - w L_k.$$

• The supplier's income or actual realized profit is

$$\sum_{k} p_{Lk} Y_k - w L_k$$

and the entire output can be sold to market at the market offered prices  $p_{Lk}$ .

# Labor Demand, Expected Profits and Wages

- The output is determined by suppliers selling to the market at prices offered by the market of p<sub>Lk</sub> for good k.
- The suppliers determine employment levels by maximizing expected profits that for a wage rate *w* are given by

$$\pi_k = \sum_k p_{Lk} f_k(L_k) - w L_k.$$

• The supplier's income or actual realized profit is

$$\sum_{k} p_{Lk} Y_k - wL_k$$

and the entire output can be sold to market at the market offered prices  $p_{Lk}$ .

• The labor market must clear with full employment and the wage rate is determined to ensure that

$$\sum_{k} L_{k} = \sum_{j} \overline{L}_{j} = \overline{L}.$$

Eller States Palering

## Consumer Incomes and Demand for Goods

• The consumers with labor endowments  $\overline{L}_j$  have shares in the profits of  $\sigma_{jk}$  and they receive as income

$$\overline{V}_j = w\overline{L}_j + \sum_k \sigma_{jk}\pi_k.$$

• The consumers with labor endowments  $\overline{L}_j$  have shares in the profits of  $\sigma_{ik}$  and they receive as income

$$\overline{V}_j = w\overline{L}_j + \sum_k \sigma_{jk} \pi_k.$$

• The consumers base their product demands on their expected incomes and the prices for the commodities offered by the market.

• The consumers with labor endowments  $\overline{L}_j$  have shares in the profits of  $\sigma_{ik}$  and they receive as income

$$\overline{V}_j = w\overline{L}_j + \sum_k \sigma_{jk} \pi_k.$$

- The consumers base their product demands on their expected incomes and the prices for the commodities offered by the market.
- The demand by consumer *j* for product *k* is given by the demand function

$$X_{jk} = D_{jk} \left( p_{U,1}, p_{U,2}, \cdots, p_{U,K}, \overline{V}_j \right).$$

tation at AMES Conference

• The consumers with labor endowments  $\overline{L}_j$  have shares in the profits of  $\sigma_{ik}$  and they receive as income

$$\overline{V}_j = w\overline{L}_j + \sum_k \sigma_{jk} \pi_k.$$

- The consumers base their product demands on their expected incomes and the prices for the commodities offered by the market.
- The demand by consumer *j* for product *k* is given by the demand function

$$X_{jk} = D_{jk}\left(p_{U,1}, p_{U,2}, \cdots, p_{U,K}, \overline{V}_{j}
ight)$$
 .

• The demand functions are stochastic reflecting random influences on preferences that prices cannot be made dependent on.

elite the state of the second s

• The aggregate demand for product k is  $\sum_{j} X_{jk}$  with aggregate supply  $f_k(L_k)M_k$ .

Procen

- The aggregate demand for product k is  $\sum_{j} X_{jk}$  with aggregate supply  $f_k(L_k)M_k$ .
- The excess supply exposure for product k

$$Z_k = f_k(L_k)M_k - \sum_j X_{jk}$$

- The aggregate demand for product k is  $\sum_{j} X_{jk}$  with aggregate supply  $f_k(L_k)M_k$ .
- The excess supply exposure for product k

$$Z_k = f_k(L_k)M_k - \sum_j X_{jk}$$

• The net revenue exposure on account of product k is

$$R_k = \sum_j p_{Uk} X_{jk} - p_{Lk} f_k(L_k) M_k.$$

tation at AMES Conference

• The CES utility function is given by

$$u_j(x_1,\cdots,x_n) = \left(\sum_k a_{kj} x_{kj}^{\rho_j}\right)^{1/\rho_j}$$

• The CES utility function is given by

$$u_j(x_1,\cdots,x_n) = \left(\sum_k a_{kj} x_{kj}^{\rho_j}\right)^{1/\rho_j}$$

• Let the elasticity of substitution r be defined by

$$r_j=\frac{\rho_j}{\rho_j-1},$$

• The CES utility function is given by

$$u_j(x_1,\cdots,x_n) = \left(\sum_k a_{kj} x_{kj}^{\rho_j}\right)^{1/\rho_j}$$

• Let the elasticity of substitution r be defined by

$$r_j=\frac{\rho_j}{\rho_j-1},$$

• The demand functions are then given for income y by

$$x_{kj} = rac{a_{kj}^{1-r_j} p_{U_k}^{r_j-1} V_j}{\sum_k a_{kj}^{1-r_j} p_{U_k}^{r_j}}.$$

• The CES utility function is given by

$$u_j(x_1,\cdots,x_n) = \left(\sum_k a_{kj} x_{kj}^{\rho_j}\right)^{1/\rho_j}$$

• Let the elasticity of substitution r be defined by

$$r_j = rac{
ho_j}{
ho_j - 1},$$

• The demand functions are then given for income y by

$$x_{kj} = rac{a_{kj}^{1-r_j} p_{U_k}^{r_j-1} V_j}{\sum_k a_{kj}^{1-r_j} p_{U_k}^{r_j}}$$

• To induce stochastic or random demands we take the logarithm of the  $a'_{kj}s$  to be distributed as multivariate normal, and  $r_j$ , the elasticity of substitution to be gamma distributed and independent of the  $a'_{kj}s$ .

Presentation at AMES Conference New

#### • For specific production functions we take

$$Y_k = L_k^{lpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - rac{\sigma_k^2}{2}
ight).$$

■ Preser

• For specific production functions we take

$$Y_k = L_k^{lpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - rac{\sigma_k^2}{2}
ight)$$

• The paper provides an extensive analysis of a two good economy.

AMES Contractor

• Consider a five good one consumer economy with the elasticity of substitution gamma distributed with a mean of 0.2 and a variance of 0.1.

린다. 1 등 사람 문다. 등,

- Consider a five good one consumer economy with the elasticity of substitution gamma distributed with a mean of 0.2 and a variance of 0.1.
- The other parameters for the five goods were as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= [.3.4.5.6.7] \\ \sigma &= [.25.23.21.19.17] \\ \mu &= [54321] \\ \zeta &= [.5.4.3.2.1]. \end{aligned}$$

- Consider a five good one consumer economy with the elasticity of substitution gamma distributed with a mean of 0.2 and a variance of 0.1.
- The other parameters for the five goods were as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= [.3.4.5.6.7] \\ \sigma &= [.25.23.21.19.17] \\ \mu &= [54321] \\ \zeta &= [.5.4.3.2.1]. \end{aligned}$$

• The correlations in the  $a'_k s$  were 0.5. The stress levels for all five excess supplies were 0.25 and net revenues were 0.5.

tation at AMES Conference

• For this economy the shortfalls in demand relative to output, the markups for the upper price relative to the lower price and the expected revenues by sector in labor units were as follows.

|              | 1      | 2      | 3       | 4       | 5       |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| shortfall    | 0.1152 | 0.1379 | 0.1923  | 0.3620  | 0.5752  |
| markup       | 0.2973 | 0.1921 | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Exp. Revenue | 0.3193 | 0.0311 | -0.1076 | -0.1149 | -0.1269 |

• The Labor market has so far been a one price market with deterministic demands and supplies.

- The Labor market has so far been a one price market with deterministic demands and supplies.
- We now introduce randomness in labor demand with a fixed supply.

- The Labor market has so far been a one price market with deterministic demands and supplies.
- We now introduce randomness in labor demand with a fixed supply.
- Later we consider random supplies as well.

- The Labor market has so far been a one price market with deterministic demands and supplies.
- We now introduce randomness in labor demand with a fixed supply.
- Later we consider random supplies as well.
- The demand for labor is made random by shifts in the levels of the production functions observable to producers before they make their employment decisions

• Suppose the production function for product k is  $Y_k = A_k L_k^{\alpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - \sigma_k^2/2\right)$ 

• Suppose the production function for product k is

$$Y_k = A_k L_k^{lpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - \sigma_k^2/2
ight)$$

• Employment is determined by maximizing the expected profit given  $A_k$ .

• Suppose the production function for product k is

$$Y_k = A_k L_k^{lpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - \sigma_k^2/2
ight)$$

- Employment is determined by maximizing the expected profit given  $A_k$ .
- It follows that

$$L_k = \left(\frac{\alpha_k A_k}{w_U}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_k}}.$$

• Suppose the production function for product k is

$$Y_k = A_k L_k^{lpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - \sigma_k^2/2
ight)$$

- Employment is determined by maximizing the expected profit given  $A_k$ .
- It follows that

$$L_k = \left(\frac{\alpha_k A_k}{w_U}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_k}}$$

• Suppose now that  $A_k$  is random and

$$A_k = \exp\left(\beta_k \widetilde{Z}_k - \frac{\beta_k^2}{2}\right).$$

• Suppose the production function for product k is

$$Y_k = A_k L_k^{lpha_k} \exp\left(\sigma_k Z_k - \sigma_k^2/2
ight)$$

- Employment is determined by maximizing the expected profit given  $A_k$ .
- It follows that

$$L_k = \left(\frac{\alpha_k A_k}{w_U}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_k}}$$

• Suppose now that  $A_k$  is random and

$$A_k = \exp\left(eta_k \widetilde{Z}_k - rac{eta_k^2}{2}
ight).$$

• The demand for labor is random at the wage *w*<sub>U</sub>, and the total demand is

$$L_D = \sum_k L_k$$

AMEC Conferen

• The labor supply is  $\overline{L}$  and it is purchased by the market for  $w_L \overline{L}$ .

- The labor supply is  $\overline{L}$  and it is purchased by the market for  $w_L \overline{L}$ .
- In the labor market risk acceptability is ensured by requiring

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}\left(\overline{L}-L_{D}\right) &\geq & 0\\ \mathcal{E}\left(w_{U}L_{D}-w_{L}\overline{L}\right) &\geq & 0, \end{aligned}$$

AMES Conference

- The labor supply is  $\overline{L}$  and it is purchased by the market for  $w_L \overline{L}$ .
- In the labor market risk acceptability is ensured by requiring

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}\left(\overline{L}-L_{D}\right) &\geq & 0\\ \mathcal{E}\left(w_{U}L_{D}-w_{L}\overline{L}\right) &\geq & 0, \end{aligned}$$

• for appropriate distorted expectation operators.

• The production function parameters are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & (0.8, \ 0.7, \ 0.6, \ 0.7, \ 0.8). \\ \sigma & = & (0.2, \ 0.18, \ 0.16, \ 0.18, \ 0.25). \\ \beta & = & (0.02, \ 0.01, \ 0.005, \ 0.01, \ 0.02). \end{array}$$

• The production function parameters are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & (0.8, \ 0.7, \ 0.6, \ 0.7, \ 0.8). \\ \sigma & = & (0.2, \ 0.18, \ 0.16, \ 0.18, \ 0.25). \\ \beta & = & (0.02, \ 0.01, \ 0.005, \ 0.01, \ 0.02). \end{array}$$

• The elasticity of substitution was gamma distributed with mean 0.2 and variance 0.1.

tation at AMES Conference

• The production function parameters are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & (0.8, \ 0.7, \ 0.6, \ 0.7, \ 0.8). \\ \sigma & = & (0.2, \ 0.18, \ 0.16, \ 0.18, \ 0.25). \\ \beta & = & (0.02, \ 0.01, \ 0.005, \ 0.01, \ 0.02) \end{array}$$

- The elasticity of substitution was gamma distributed with mean 0.2 and variance 0.1.
- The preference parameters were

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a & = & (5, \ 4, \ 3, \ 2, \ 1). \\ \zeta & = & (0.5, \ 0.4, \ 0.3, \ 0.2, \ 0.1). \end{array}$$

• The production function parameters are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & (0.8, \ 0.7, \ 0.6, \ 0.7, \ 0.8). \\ \sigma & = & (0.2, \ 0.18, \ 0.16, \ 0.18, \ 0.25). \\ \beta & = & (0.02, \ 0.01, \ 0.005, \ 0.01, \ 0.02) \end{array}$$

- The elasticity of substitution was gamma distributed with mean 0.2 and variance 0.1.
- The preference parameters were

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pmb{a} & = & (5, \ 4, \ 3, \ 2, \ 1). \\ \zeta & = & (0.5, \ 0.4, \ 0.3, \ 0.2, \ 0.1). \end{array}$$

• The correlations were 0.75 for each pair uniformly.

+ AMES Conference

• The production function parameters are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & (0.8, \ 0.7, \ 0.6, \ 0.7, \ 0.8). \\ \sigma & = & (0.2, \ 0.18, \ 0.16, \ 0.18, \ 0.25). \\ \beta & = & (0.02, \ 0.01, \ 0.005, \ 0.01, \ 0.02) \end{array}$$

- The elasticity of substitution was gamma distributed with mean 0.2 and variance 0.1.
- The preference parameters were

$$a = (5, 4, 3, 2, 1).$$
  
 $\zeta = (0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1).$ 

- The correlations were 0.75 for each pair uniformly.
- The stress levels were 0.25 for the excess supplies and 0.5 for the net revenues in all markets.
#### • The equilibrium wage levels were

 $w_L = 2.0562$  $w_U = 2.1799.$  • The equilibrium wage levels were

$$w_L = 2.0562$$
  
 $w_U = 2.1799.$ 

• The price levels for the five goods were

$$p_L = (2.3925, 2.0100, 1.4364, 1.3571, 1.4793)$$
  
 $p_U = (2.9559, 2.1499, 1.4364, 1.3571, 1.4793).$ 

at AMES Conference

# Equilibrium Unemployment and Unemployment Insurance

• The equilibrium unemployment rate for the economy was 3.53%. This was based on the level of the expected aggregate employment.

# Equilibrium Unemployment and Unemployment Insurance

- The equilibrium unemployment rate for the economy was 3.53%. This was based on the level of the expected aggregate employment.
- If the wage of the employed is taken as the numeraire then the unemployed receive 94.32% of this wage and this is the equilibrium level of unemployment insurance in the equilibrium.

# Equilibrium Unemployment and Unemployment Insurance

- The equilibrium unemployment rate for the economy was 3.53%. This was based on the level of the expected aggregate employment.
- If the wage of the employed is taken as the numeraire then the unemployed receive 94.32% of this wage and this is the equilibrium level of unemployment insurance in the equilibrium.
- The level of unemployment support in the economy may be defined as the income ratio of the unemployed to the employed. Here it is

 $UNSL = \frac{.0353 * 2.0562}{(1 - .0353) * 2.1799} \\ = 3.45\%.$ 

tation at AMES Conference

• We reduce productivity coefficients and increase the number of products in the economy to study the effects on unemployment.

- We reduce productivity coefficients and increase the number of products in the economy to study the effects on unemployment.
- The graph shows that increased productivity coupled with an expansion in the number of productive activities may help maintain employment levels.

AMES Contours



Presentation at AMES Conference New Delhi

#### Income Redistribution and Unemployment

• Consider a two good economy with two individuals.

- Consider a two good economy with two individuals.
- The first good has a fast declining marginal productivity of labor and the second has slow decline in this productivity.

- Consider a two good economy with two individuals.
- The first good has a fast declining marginal productivity of labor and the second has slow decline in this productivity.
- One individual has all the profit income and the other has all the labor income.

- Consider a two good economy with two individuals.
- The first good has a fast declining marginal productivity of labor and the second has slow decline in this productivity.
- One individual has all the profit income and the other has all the labor income.
- The individual with the profit income has a preference for the first good while the one with the labor income prefers the second good.

A MES Contours

- Consider a two good economy with two individuals.
- The first good has a fast declining marginal productivity of labor and the second has slow decline in this productivity.
- One individual has all the profit income and the other has all the labor income.
- The individual with the profit income has a preference for the first good while the one with the labor income prefers the second good.
- The figure shows the effects on unemployment of income redistribution through taxation.



Presentation at AMES Conference

#### Productivity Shocks with Income Inequality

• We consider an economy with two goods and two individuals that have access separately to the profit and labor incomes with preferences for goods employing fewer and larger number of people, respectively.

# Productivity Shocks with Income Inequality

- We consider an economy with two goods and two individuals that have access separately to the profit and labor incomes with preferences for goods employing fewer and larger number of people, respectively.
- We report solutions in a base case and post a productivity shock.

|                    | unemp rate | unemp ins. | unemp support |
|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Base Case          | 8.82       | 86.97      | 8.42          |
| Productivity Shock | 27.21      | 71.43      | 26.71         |

# Productivity Shocks with Income Inequality

- We consider an economy with two goods and two individuals that have access separately to the profit and labor incomes with preferences for goods employing fewer and larger number of people, respectively.
- We report solutions in a base case and post a productivity shock.

|                    | unemp rate | unemp ins. | unemp support |
|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Base Case          | 8.82       | 86.97      | 8.42          |
| Productivity Shock | 27.21      | 71.43      | 26.71         |

• There is a case on equilibrium grounds for enhancing unemployment support in the face of a productivity shock.

elite the states and

• In analysing random labor supplies we couple this with skill differentiation in labor markets.

- In analysing random labor supplies we couple this with skill differentiation in labor markets.
- This allows for the reporting of equilibrium unemployment insurance levels, unemployment rates and unemployment support levels separately for the differentiated labor groups.

- In analysing random labor supplies we couple this with skill differentiation in labor markets.
- This allows for the reporting of equilibrium unemployment insurance levels, unemployment rates and unemployment support levels separately for the differentiated labor groups.
- With the concentration being on labor differentiation, we aggregate the product market to a one good economy.

- In analysing random labor supplies we couple this with skill differentiation in labor markets.
- This allows for the reporting of equilibrium unemployment insurance levels, unemployment rates and unemployment support levels separately for the differentiated labor groups.
- With the concentration being on labor differentiation, we aggregate the product market to a one good economy.
- There is then one output, Y and two types of labor that we denote by  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

- In analysing random labor supplies we couple this with skill differentiation in labor markets.
- This allows for the reporting of equilibrium unemployment insurance levels, unemployment rates and unemployment support levels separately for the differentiated labor groups.
- With the concentration being on labor differentiation, we aggregate the product market to a one good economy.
- There is then one output, Y and two types of labor that we denote by  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .
- For a random supply of labor we introduce a utility function for the output and leisure for the two labor groups.

- In analysing random labor supplies we couple this with skill differentiation in labor markets.
- This allows for the reporting of equilibrium unemployment insurance levels, unemployment rates and unemployment support levels separately for the differentiated labor groups.
- With the concentration being on labor differentiation, we aggregate the product market to a one good economy.
- There is then one output, Y and two types of labor that we denote by  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .
- For a random supply of labor we introduce a utility function for the output and leisure for the two labor groups.
- Consider a Cobb-Douglas utility function with maximal labor supplies of A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub> for the two groups and utility function

$$u(Y, L_1, L_2) = Y^{\beta}(A_1 - L_1)^{\theta_1}(A_2 - L_2)^{\theta_2}.$$

• The production function requires both types of labor with output given by

$$Y = L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} e^{\sigma z - \sigma^2/2}.$$

• The production function requires both types of labor with output given by

$$Y = L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} e^{\sigma z - \sigma^2/2}$$

• Expected profits are given by

$$\pi = p_L L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} - w_{U1} L_1 - w_{U2} L_2$$

The production function requires both types of labor with output given by

$$Y = L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} e^{\sigma z - \sigma^2/2}$$

Expected profits are given by

$$\pi = p_L L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} - w_{U1} L_1 - w_{U2} L_2$$

• The solutions for labor demanded in the two groups are then

$$L_{D1} = \left(\frac{p_L \alpha_2^{\alpha_2} \alpha_1^{1-\alpha_2}}{w_{U1}^{1-\alpha_2} w_{U2}^{\alpha_2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}}$$
$$L_{D2} = \left(\frac{p_L \alpha_2^{1-\alpha_1} \alpha_1^{\alpha_1}}{w_{U1}^{\alpha_1} w_{U2}^{1-\alpha_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}'}$$

The production function requires both types of labor with output given by

$$Y = L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} e^{\sigma z - \sigma^2/2}$$

Expected profits are given by

$$\pi = p_L L_1^{\alpha_1} L_2^{\alpha_2} - w_{U1} L_1 - w_{U2} L_2$$

• The solutions for labor demanded in the two groups are then

$$L_{D1} = \left(\frac{p_L \alpha_2^{\alpha_2} \alpha_1^{1-\alpha_2}}{w_{U1}^{1-\alpha_2} w_{U2}^{\alpha_2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}}$$
$$L_{D2} = \left(\frac{p_L \alpha_2^{1-\alpha_1} \alpha_1^{\alpha_1}}{w_{U1}^{\alpha_1} w_{U2}^{1-\alpha_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}'}$$

• There is a resulting random supply of output.

### Labor Supply and Output Demand

• Given expected profit income  $\pi$ , utility maximization subject to the budget constraint

$$p_U Y_D - w_{L1} L_{S1} - w_{L2} L_{S2} \le \pi$$

# Labor Supply and Output Demand

 Given expected profit income π, utility maximization subject to the budget constraint

$$p_U Y_D - w_{L1} L_{S1} - w_{L2} L_{S2} \le \pi$$

• yield labor supplies and output demands as follows.

#### Labor Supply and Output Demand

 Given expected profit income π, utility maximization subject to the budget constraint

$$p_U Y_D - w_{L1} L_{S1} - w_{L2} L_{S2} \le \pi$$

- yield labor supplies and output demands as follows.
  - $w_{L1}L_{S1} = \frac{\beta + \theta_2}{\beta + \theta_1 + \theta_2} w_{L1}A_1 \frac{\theta_1}{\beta + \theta_1 + \theta_2} (w_{L2}A_2 + \pi)$   $w_{L2}L_{S2} = \frac{\beta + \theta_1}{\beta + \theta_1 + \theta_2} w_{L2}A_2 \frac{\theta_2}{\beta + \theta_1 + \theta_2} (w_{L1}A_1 + \pi)$   $Y_D = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \theta_1 + \theta_2} \frac{w_{L1}A_1 + w_{L2}A_2 + \pi}{p_U}.$

# Solution with Skill Diffrentiated and Random Labor Supplies

• We now introduce uncertainty in preferences by taking  $\beta$  to be gamma distributed with a mean of .75 and a volatility of .5.

# Solution with Skill Diffrentiated and Random Labor Supplies

- We now introduce uncertainty in preferences by taking  $\beta$  to be gamma distributed with a mean of .75 and a volatility of .5.
- $\theta_1, \theta_2$  are gamma distributed with mean of .25 and .5 with volatilities of .15 and .3.  $L_1$  prefers work to leisure relative to  $L_2$ .

A MEC Contours

- We now introduce uncertainty in preferences by taking β to be gamma distributed with a mean of .75 and a volatility of .5.
- θ<sub>1</sub>, θ<sub>2</sub> are gamma distributed with mean of .25 and .5 with volatilities of .15 and .3. L<sub>1</sub> prefers work to leisure relative to L<sub>2</sub>.
- There are six distorted expectations that are to equated to zero to solve for w<sub>L1</sub>, w<sub>L2</sub>, w<sub>U1</sub>, w<sub>U2</sub>, p<sub>L</sub>, p<sub>U</sub>.

- We now introduce uncertainty in preferences by taking β to be gamma distributed with a mean of .75 and a volatility of .5.
- θ<sub>1</sub>, θ<sub>2</sub> are gamma distributed with mean of .25 and .5 with volatilities of .15 and .3. L<sub>1</sub> prefers work to leisure relative to L<sub>2</sub>.
- There are six distorted expectations that are to equated to zero to solve for w<sub>L1</sub>, w<sub>L2</sub>, w<sub>U1</sub>, w<sub>U2</sub>, p<sub>L</sub>, p<sub>U</sub>.
- The stress levels in all cases were set at 0.2.

• The solution gave working wages for the two labor types in units of output consumption with deflator  $p_U$ , of  $w_{U1}/p_U = 0.3981$  and  $w_{U2}/p_U = 0.2235$  or a 78% premium for the skilled group.

- The solution gave working wages for the two labor types in units of output consumption with deflator  $p_U$ , of  $w_{U1}/p_U = 0.3981$  and  $w_{U2}/p_U = 0.2235$  or a 78% premium for the skilled group.
- The unemployment insurance payment in the two groups was 86.67% of the working wage for the skilled group and 83.5% for the other group.
- The solution gave working wages for the two labor types in units of output consumption with deflator p<sub>U</sub>, of w<sub>U1</sub>/p<sub>U</sub> = 0.3981 and w<sub>U2</sub>/p<sub>U</sub> = 0.2235 or a 78% premium for the skilled group.
- The unemployment insurance payment in the two groups was 86.67% of the working wage for the skilled group and 83.5% for the other group.
- The unemployment rates in the two groups were 16 and 51 percent respectively.

A MES Contrant

- The solution gave working wages for the two labor types in units of output consumption with deflator p<sub>U</sub>, of w<sub>U1</sub>/p<sub>U</sub> = 0.3981 and w<sub>U2</sub>/p<sub>U</sub> = 0.2235 or a 78% premium for the skilled group.
- The unemployment insurance payment in the two groups was 86.67% of the working wage for the skilled group and 83.5% for the other group.
- The unemployment rates in the two groups were 16 and 51 percent respectively.
- The unemployment payments in the two groups as a proportion of average wage income of both groups was 12.47 and 21.38 percent.

A ALES CALSON

- The solution gave working wages for the two labor types in units of output consumption with deflator p<sub>U</sub>, of w<sub>U1</sub>/p<sub>U</sub> = 0.3981 and w<sub>U2</sub>/p<sub>U</sub> = 0.2235 or a 78% premium for the skilled group.
- The unemployment insurance payment in the two groups was 86.67% of the working wage for the skilled group and 83.5% for the other group.
- The unemployment rates in the two groups were 16 and 51 percent respectively.
- The unemployment payments in the two groups as a proportion of average wage income of both groups was 12.47 and 21.38 percent.
- The average labor supplied was 0.5696 and 0.4951 for groups one and two respectively.

en trade a barre

• With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.

Procen

- With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.
- A two price solution is derived setting upper and lower prices for all products that make the risks of excess supply and net revenue acceptable.

- With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.
- A two price solution is derived setting upper and lower prices for all products that make the risks of excess supply and net revenue acceptable.
- The result is two price equilibrium with 2n equations for 2n unknowns in an n – good economy

- With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.
- A two price solution is derived setting upper and lower prices for all products that make the risks of excess supply and net revenue acceptable.
- The result is two price equilibrium with 2n equations for 2n unknowns in an n – good economy
- Partial and general equilibrium two price equilibria are illustrated with classical clearing for the labor market.

- With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.
- A two price solution is derived setting upper and lower prices for all products that make the risks of excess supply and net revenue acceptable.
- The result is two price equilibrium with 2n equations for 2n unknowns in an n – good economy
- Partial and general equilibrium two price equilibria are illustrated with classical clearing for the labor market.
- We go on to introduce random demands and supplies in the labor market.

Eller States Fallente

- With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.
- A two price solution is derived setting upper and lower prices for all products that make the risks of excess supply and net revenue acceptable.
- The result is two price equilibrium with 2n equations for 2n unknowns in an n – good economy
- Partial and general equilibrium two price equilibria are illustrated with classical clearing for the labor market.
- We go on to introduce random demands and supplies in the labor market.
- Equilibria then reflect equilibrium levels of unemployment, unemployment insurance, and unemployment supports.

- With randomness in excess demands equilibrium may not be defined by equation them to zero.
- A two price solution is derived setting upper and lower prices for all products that make the risks of excess supply and net revenue acceptable.
- The result is two price equilibrium with 2n equations for 2n unknowns in an n – good economy
- Partial and general equilibrium two price equilibria are illustrated with classical clearing for the labor market.
- We go on to introduce random demands and supplies in the labor market.
- Equilibria then reflect equilibrium levels of unemployment, unemployment insurance, and unemployment supports.
- Productivity shocks and income redistribution are related to lower unemployment rates and greater levels of equilibrium unemployment support.